

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,178	03/24/2004	Yoshiko Amitani	040150	4182
23850 7590 6772220008 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, JIMMY H	
Suite 400 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/807,178	AMITANI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JIMMY H. NGUYEN	2629	

	JIMMY H. NGUYEN	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36 - Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36 - If NO proof for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period we for the property of the proof of the property is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with period within the such as the property of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ma	ay 2008.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F					

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Tinformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/807,178 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 5/6/2008, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY

REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following

two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37

CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an

appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee

can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have

been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between

the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing

below:

/Bipin Shalwala/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629.

Notice to Applicant

Art Unit: 2629

The indications of features or the limitations of claims in the below objections and rejections are referred to the amendment after final filed on 12/19/2007 and entered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the case" in line 12 should be changed to -- the second case -- in order to clarify the claimed invention because there are two cases recited in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature, "a part area" in line 6 of claim 1 and line 8 of claim 5, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

Application/Control Number: 10/807,178

Art Unit: 2629

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, this claim recites features, "at least a part area of front surface of the first case" in line 6, "the part area is exposed" in line 7, and "the part area of the first case" in line 8. Since it is unclear whether "a part area" of the feature in line 6, "the part area" of the feature in line 7, and "the part area" of the feature in line 8 are the same one, it is considered that the invention is not clearly defined.

Note that in the case that these part areas are different, there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation, "the part area" in line 7 or "the part area" in line 8, in the claim. In the case that these parts are the same one, "the part area is exposed" in line 7 should be changed to -- said part area is exposed -- and "the part area of the first case" in line 8 should be changed to -- said part area of the first case --, in order to clarify the claimed invention.

As to claims 2-3, since these claims depend upon claim 1, these claims are therefore rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 1 above. As to claim 5, this claim recites the features, "at least a part area of front surface of the first case" in lines 7-8, "the part area is exposed" in line 9, and "the part area of the first case" in lines 9-10. Since it is unclear whether "a part area" of the feature in lines 7-8, "the part area" of the feature in lines 9-10 are the same one, it is considered that the invention is not clearly defined.

Note that in the case that these part areas are different, there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation, "the part area" of the feature in line 9 or "the part area" of the feature in lines 9-10, in the claim. In the case that these parts are the same one, "the part area is exposed" in line 9 should be changed to — said part area is exposed — and "the part area of the first case" in lines 9-10 should be changed to — said part area of the first case —, in order to clarify the claimed invention.

- Further, in order to further consider these claims, examiner assumes that all "part areas" mentioned in the above rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are the same one.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply
 with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described

Application/Control Number: 10/807,178

Art Unit: 2629

in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As to claim 1, this claim contains the limitation, "the second case is closable to a first posture wherein at least a part area of front surface of the first case is covered" in lines 5-6. This limitation implies the part area (of front surface) of the first case being fully covered by the second case when in a first posture.

Claim 1 further recites a limitation, "the part area of the first case having a pointing device projecting therefrom in a direction apart from the front surface of the first case.... the second case having an opening extending through the case from the front surface thereof to the rear surface thereof at the position opposed to the pointing device when in the first posture, the opening having an inner peripheral wall to surround the entire pointing device" in last 6 lines. This limitation implies that the pointing device is an element of the part area of the first case and is not covered by the second case in the first posture, thereby rendering the part area of the first case not to be fully covered by the second case when in the first posture.

Therefore, it is impossible to make a portable wireless terminal, which contains both limitations as discussed above. Accordingly, this claim contains the above underlined limitations, which were not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention.

Further, note that the invention of claim 1 is drawn to the embodiment illustrated by figures 1-3, as asserted by Applicant (see the Appeal Brief filed 5/6/2008; page 8). Figures 1-3 explicitly shows a pointing device (4) not being covered by the second case (2) either in the closed state (i.e., the claimed first posture) or in the opening state (i.e., the claimed second

Application/Control Number: 10/807,178

Art Unit: 2629

posture), thereby rendering the claimed part area **not being fully covered** by the second case since the claimed pointing device is an element of the claimed part area.

As to claims 2-3, since these claims depend upon claim 1, these claims are therefore rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 1 above.

As to **claim 5**, since this claim similarly recites limitations of claim 1 as discussed in the above rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, this claim is therefore rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 1 above.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see the Appeal Brief, filed 5/6/2008, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sassi (US 6,487,396 B1) in view of Kang et al. (US 7,146,197 B2) and the rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang et al. (US 7,146,197 B2) in view of Sassi (US 6,487,396 B1), have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections in the Final Office Action dated 10/16/2007 have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as above.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7675. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 a.m. - 3:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/807,178 Page 8

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached at 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jimmy H Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629